

HIV Community Planning Council
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT COMMITTEE
Wednesday, October 6th, 2021
Zoom Meeting
3:00-4:30 pm

Committee Members Present: Ben Cabangun, Thomas Knoble, Kevin Hutchcroft, Lee Jewell, Derrick Mapp, T.J Lee-Miyaki, Ney Nascimento, Richard Sullivan, Laura Thomas

Committee Members Absent: Juba Kalamka [LOA]

Others Present: Beth Neary (HHS), Dean Goodwin, John Aynsley, Stephan Spano

Support Staff Present: Kira Perez Angeles, David Jordan, Melina Clark, Mark Molnar

Minutes

1. Introductions and Welcome

The meeting was called to order at 3:05 pm by CM Sullivan. Everyone introduced themselves and quorum was established.

2. Review/Approve October 6th 2021 DRAFT Agenda – VOTE

The October 6th 2021 DRAFT Agenda was reviewed and approved by consensus.

3. Review/Approve September 1st 2021 DRAFT Minutes – VOTE

The September 1st 2021 DRAFT Minutes were reviewed and approved by consensus.

4. Announcements

- None.

5. Public Comment

- None.

6. Aging and HIV – VOTE

- CS Jordan asked what the utility of the data is or what is it going to be used for?
 - CM Lee-Miyaki responded that the data would be useful in figuring out whether folks over a certain age are truly utilizing services and to what extent.
 - John Aynsely added that he is currently working on getting that data in shorter increments because right now they only have that data in 5 year increments.
- CM Mapp highlights that this discussion will play into whether or not a working group is necessary.
 - CM Lee-Miyaki added that he feels the need to begin advocating for this data to be accessible due to the nature of the aging population.
 - CS Molnar pointed out that a work group might lose its momentum and the goal may be lost. He references past work groups where not many people showed up even with the importance of the issue at hand. Instead, this issue could be a long-standing agenda item added to this meeting.
- CM Thomas notes that 10 years ago, there were a lot of people working on this kind of data. She wonders how much has changed since then and would be interested in finding the gaps within Getting to Zero.
- Beth Neary encourages the group to narrow down on the data that is going to be requested.

- The group decided to just leave the discussion at this meeting and revisit this conversation next month as well as analyze the programs and services that are currently offered.

7. HCAP Report

- Stephan Spano updated the group about the monthly HCAP report noting that it was a 2-month combination update. A lot of the report was composed of the RCFCI cases that he came across. He highlights that most of the cases were resolved and even had one case where the client was pleasantly surprised as they had a bathtub in their housing which they had not had in years. He notes this because he wants to highlight that appropriate housing and small things such as a bathtub can make a difference in a client's life.
- Spano discusses specific case where a client reluctantly saw a mental health provider and later received a diagnosis they did not agree with. They felt stuck with this diagnosis and upset that they were not disclosed the information previous to showing up on their record.
- CM Sullivan asked whether the RCFCI situation has improved?
 - Spano clarifies that yes the situations have been getting better as well as housing subsidies. He points out that there are unrealistic expectations of having folks move from their housing situation to independent housing.
- CS Jordan emphasizes that the main issue is recruitment. That is due to asking case managers to take on an extra load.

8. Needs Assessment Update/COLA Targets – VOTE

- CS Jordan updated the group that unfortunately he does not have a whole lot to report and notes that this has been a hard year for recruitment. Outreach is continuing but there haven't been any responses for Needs Assessment.
- CS Clark echoes that she has also been having trouble with recruitment and clients / responses have been minimal.
- Beth Neary asked what the incentive amount is?
 - CS Jordan answered that this year the gift card amounts are \$25.
 - Beth Neary suggests that maybe \$50 gift cards would incentivize people more.
 - CS Knoble seconds Neary's thought to increase the incentive amount.
 - CM Lee-Miyaki points out that he thinks increasing the amount to \$50 would make people expect to always get a \$50 gift card. In future years, this may impact the amount of responses we get as they will view a lower gift card value as less and not want to partake in the surveys.

9. Next Meeting Date & Agenda Items – VOTE

The next Community Engagement Committee meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, November 3rd 2021, via Zoom.

10. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 4:28 pm by CM Sullivan.

Community Engagement Committee **HIV Community Planning Council**

Roll Call: **P**=Present; **A**=Absent; **E**=Excused; **L**=Leave of Absence

Votes: **Y**=Yes; **N**=No; **B**=Abstain; **R**=Recused (deduct from quorum)

September 1, 2021

roll [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]

1.	Ben Cabangun	P										
2.	Thomas Knoble (Gov't Co-Chair)/ Kevin Hutchcroft	P/P										
3.	Lee Jewell	P										
4.	Juba Kalamka	LOA										
5.	Derrick Mapp (Co-Chair)	P										
6.	T.J. Lee-Miyaki	P										
7.	Ney Nascimento	P										
8.	Richard Sullivan (Co-Chair)	P										
9.	Laura Thomas	P										

Ayes												
Nays												
Abstain												
Recusal												
Total	9											